### Automating 3D Surgical Suture Planning



\* denotes equal contribution



#### Viraj Ramakrishnan\*, Julia Isaac\*, Harshika Jalan, Harsha Polavaram, Hansoul Kim, Aviv Adler, Danyal M Fer, Ken Goldberg







UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF MEDICINE



### Robot Surgery



# human surgeon?



No autonomy





Operator maintains continuous control of the system while the robot provides certain assistance.

Robot assistance



Operator maintains discrete control of the system, and the robot can perform certain operatorinitiated tasks automatically.

Task autonomy









Operator selects and approves a surgical plan, and the robot performs the procedure automatically but with close surgical oversight by human.



Robot is able to make decisions but under the supervision of a qualified operator.



High autonomy No human needs to be in the loop, and the robot can perform an entire surgery.



Conditional autonomy

3

Full y automation

### "Augmented Dexterity": **How Robots Can Enhance Surgeon Dexterity**

Augmented Dexterity describes systems where surgical subtasks are controlled by the robot under the close supervision of the human surgeon who is ready to take over at a moment's notice.

K. Goldberg 2024 https://bit.ly/Augmented-Dexterity-S24

### Surgical Suturing



## Surgical Suturing





#### **STITCH: Augmented Dexterity for Suture Throws Including Thread Coordination and Handoffs**

Kush Hari<sup>1\*</sup>, Hansoul Kim<sup>1\*</sup>, Will Panitch<sup>1\*</sup>, Kishore Srinivas<sup>1</sup>, Vincent Schorp<sup>1,2</sup>, Karthik Dharmarajan<sup>1</sup>, Shreya Ganti<sup>1</sup>, Tara Sadjadpour<sup>1</sup>, Ken Goldberg<sup>1</sup>

Abstract—We present STITCH: an augmented dexterity suturing pipeline for the dVRK surgical robot. STITCH performs needle insertion, thread sweeping, needle extraction and suture cinching, needle handover, and needle pose correction, with failure recovery policies for these actions. We introduce a novel visual 6D needle pose estimation framework using a stereo camera pair and an augmented dexterity suturing motion controller. In the augmented dexterity framework, a surgeon monitors autonomous subtasks and intervenes when either the robot or surgeon deems it necessary. We STITCH a wound phantom and compare its performance against simpler policies, including a proprioception-only and a no-visual-serviong policy. In physical experiments, we find that across 15 trials, STITCH achieves an average of 2.93 sutures without human intervention and 4.47 sutures with human intervention. See https:// sites.google.com/berkeley.edu/stitch for code and supplemental materials.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Recent advancements in surgical robotics, like Intuitive Surgical's da Vinci Robotic Surgical Assistant (RSA), have gained popularity in operating rooms for their precision and minimally invasive capabilities. These robots aid surgeons by enhancing their range of motion and vision while reducing patient recovery time and complications. One such advancement is augmented dexterity, where the RSA assists with surgical tasks under a surgeon's supervision. This approach





Fig. 1. 6 sutures performed by STITCH. Step 1 shows Needle Insertion, Step 2 shows Needle Extraction, and Step 3 shows Needle Handover with Pose Correction. Detections of the needle endpoints are shown in the photo with the needle tip point shown as the blue circle and the needle swage point shown as the yellow circle. The light green circle represents the estimated needle pose.

### High Quality sutures: 1) speed healing, 2) reduce risk of infection, and 3) reduce scarring













### High Quality Sutures:

### Minimum spacing Uniform skin tension

[1] General Medical Disposable, "Suturing techniques," https://gmdgroup.com.tr/en/suturing-techniques/, 2019, Accessed Jul 2023.





### Suture Planning

Given a wound, Compute **needle entry and exit points** that optimize factors and meet constraints





#### Desired needle trajectory along with entry and exit points<sup>2</sup>

[2] S. Sen, A. Garg, D. V. Gealy, S. McKinley, Y. Jen, and K. Goldberg, "Automating multi-throw multilateral surgical suturing with a mechanical needle guide and sequential convex optimization," Proceedings - IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, vol. 2016-June, pp. 4178–4185, 2016

### Using sequential convex optimization to get needle path<sup>2</sup>





#### Kinematic model of needle tissue interaction<sup>3</sup>

[3] S. A. Pedram, P. Ferguson, J. Ma, E. Dutson, and J. Rosen, "Autonomous suturing via surgical robot: An algorithm for optimal selection of needle diameter, shape, and path," 2017 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA), pp. 2391–2398, 2017.

Pedram et al.<sup>3</sup> present an algorithm for optimal selection of needle diameter, shape and path to minimize tissue trauma



### Suture Planning for Linear 2D Wounds



[4] H. Saeidi, H. N. Le, J. D. Opfermann, S. Leonard, A. Kim, M. H. Hsieh, J. U. Kang, and A. Krieger, "Autonomous laparoscopic robotic suturing with a novel actuated suturing tool and 3d endoscope," Proceedings -IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, vol. 2019-May, pp. 1541–1547, 2019.

Saeidi et al.<sup>4</sup> developed a suture planning algorithm for linear wounds and implemented suturing with the Smart Tissue Autonomous Robot (STAR) system.







### Assumes a linear wound line, and linearly decreasing force along the wound $\rightarrow$ evenly spaced sutures leads to equal suture forces<sup>5</sup>

[5] Jean Gaston Descoux, Walley J. Temple, Shirley A. Huchcroft, Cyril B. Frank, and Nigel G. Shrive. "Linea Alba Closure: Determination of Ideal Distance Between Sutures". In: Journal of Investigative Surgery 6.2 (1993). PMID: 8512892, pp. 201–209. Doi:10.3109/08941939309141609.



### 2D Non-Linear Suture Planning Given image of a 2D curved wound and centerline of wound, compute a **suture plan** that meets criteria and minimizes the cost function.



Science and Engineering (CASE), Auckland, New Zealand, 2023, pp. 1-8, doi: 10.1109/ CASE56687.2023.10260435.



[7] V. Kamat et al., "Automating 2D Suture Placement," 2023 IEEE 19th International Conference on Automation

### 4-Part Cost Function

**Cost due to Deviations from Ideal Suture Distance:** 

$$L^{\rm idl}(s_1,\ldots,s_n) = \sum_{z(\cdot)} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^n (\|z(s_{i+1}) - z(s_i)\| - \gamma)^2$$

**Cost due to Variance of Suture Distances:** 

$$L^{\text{var}}(s_1,\ldots,s_n) = \sum_{z(\cdot)} \text{Var}(\{\|z(s_{i+1}) - z(s_i)\|\}_{i=0}^n)$$







### Skin Tension

### Blue = LowYellow = High



### Cost Function (cont'd)

Cost due to Deviations from Ideal Closure Force:

$$L_{\rm c} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} (1 - f^{\rm c}(t_j))^2$$

**Cost due to Shear Force:** 

$$L_{\rm s} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} f^{\rm s}(t_j)^2$$



### 2D Simulation Experiments

Spline 1

Spline 2

HHHH

Baseline Suture Placement

Optimized Suture Placement

Optimized Closure Force

Optimized Shear Force



-1.04

1.02

0.98

- 0.96









. . .

Spline 3

Spline 4

Spline 5















### 2D Physical Experiment: Chicken Skin



#### SP2DEEF

#### SP2DEEF

#### Surgeon





![](_page_20_Picture_2.jpeg)

SP3DEEF: Suture Planning in 30 Equalizing Elliptical Forces

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

## 3D Suture Planning Problem

# a bounding box around the wound, produce a suture

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

Given 2 stereo images of a wound without branches and plan that meets criteria and minimizes the cost function.

![](_page_22_Figure_5.jpeg)

## Step 1: 3D Image Processing

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_3.jpeg)

## Step 1: 3D Image Processing

![](_page_24_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Left image

#### Right image

### Step 1: 3D Surface Estimation

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

## Wound Mask (from both cameras)

![](_page_25_Picture_3.jpeg)

Disparity Image (amount of parallax, proxy for depth)

![](_page_25_Picture_6.jpeg)

### Step 1: 3D Surface Estimation

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

Stereo Pair Images

**Disparity Image** 

[10] Da TKF, Cohen-Steiner D. Advancing front surface reconstruction. CGAL User and Reference Manual; CGAL, 2024. https://doc.cgal.org/latest/Advancing\_front\_surface\_reconstruction/index.html.

3D points

Surface, generated from points by advancing point algorithm

![](_page_26_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_0.jpeg)

[8] L. Lipson, Z. Teed and J. Deng, "RAFT-Stereo: Multilevel Recurrent Field Transforms for Stereo Matching," 2021 International Conference on 3D Vision (3DV), London, United Kingdom, 2021, pp. 218-227, doi: 10.1109/3DV53792.2021.00032.

![](_page_27_Picture_3.jpeg)

### Step 2: Compute Wound Line

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Step 2: Meta Segment Anything (SAM) First application to surgical wound segmentation

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)

[9] A. Kirillov et al., "Segment Anything," IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV), Paris, France, 2023

### Step 3: Fit 3D Spline to Wound Line

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_3.jpeg)

- Cubic spline in 3D with "knot points"
- Number of knots varies with the length of the line
- Single-pixel wide wound line  $\rightarrow$ Transform to 3D using RAFT Each transformed pixel is used as a knot in 3D spline

## Step 3: 3D Cubic Spline

![](_page_31_Figure_5.jpeg)

## Step 4: Optimize 3D Suture Points

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_3.jpeg)

## Step 4: 3D Dista

Insertion/Extraction points must not be:

- too close together
- too far apart

ance Constrains  

$$\frac{p_{0,i}-p_{1,i}\| \ge \beta_{\min}}{p_{0,i}-p_{1,i}\| < \beta_{\max}}$$

![](_page_33_Picture_6.jpeg)

## Step 4: 3D Cost Functions $p_{0,i}$ α fpo,i(w),upo,i(w) $p_{1,i}$

- Squared Error from ideal suture width (gamma)
- Variance in distances between successive sutures (for center/ insertion/extraction)
- Shear Force  $\bigcirc$
- Difference in Closure force from ideal

 $c_d L_d + c_i L_i + c_w L_w + c_e L_e + c_f L_f + c_s L_s$ min  $\{s_0,...,s_{n-1}\}$ s.t.  $A_{\min}, A_{\max}, 0 \le s_0 \le \cdots \le s_{n-1} \le 1$ 

![](_page_34_Picture_7.jpeg)

## Step 4: Optimize 3D Suture Points

Initially, equally spaced points

Sequential least squares (SQLSP) optimizatio Subject to constraints

![](_page_35_Figure_3.jpeg)

## Step 4: Optimize 3D Suture Points

Move along the spline

Find nearest neighbors in 3D space

Compute Forces and adjust points in 3D using gradients

test surface

![](_page_36_Figure_5.jpeg)

Quickly find all points near a given point:

kd Tree. O(NlogN)

M nearest-neighbors search: O(MlogN)

# Step 4: 3D Mesh Model Kd Tree

### Step 4: Finalize 3D Suture Points

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Experiments

![](_page_39_Picture_4.jpeg)

### Simulation Experiment (Convex Wound)

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

Mesh

![](_page_40_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_4.jpeg)

Even Baseline

Ribbon

**3D Suture Plan** 

### Experiments in Sim (Concave Wound)

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Mesh

![](_page_41_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_4.jpeg)

Even Baseline

3D Suture Plan

## Physical Experiments

#### Compare:

### 1) SP2DEEF algorithm

2) Uniform Spacing baseline

3) SP3DEEF algorithm

![](_page_42_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_7.jpeg)

### Physical Experiments (Thumbtacks to indicate placement)

![](_page_43_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Planned 3D Sutures

![](_page_43_Picture_3.jpeg)

Sutured Wound

## Physical Experiments

![](_page_44_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Original 2D Suture Plan

![](_page_44_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### Even Baseline

#### **3D Suture Plan**

### Physical Experiments 3D spline cannot fit to sharp corner:

![](_page_45_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Original

#### 2D Suture Plan

![](_page_45_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### Even Baseline

#### 3D Suture Plan

#### 3D Wounds with corners

![](_page_46_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_4.jpeg)

https://doctorlib.info/medical/tintinalli-emergency-medicine/12.html

Future Work

#### 3D Wounds with branches

#### 3D Wounds with Variable depth

![](_page_46_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_13.jpeg)

https://www.orthopaedics.com.sg/treatments/orthopaedic-surgeries/ laceration-wound/

![](_page_46_Picture_16.jpeg)

#### Future Work Surgeon Spline Adjustment 1. surgeon clicks 2 2. System fits linear 3. Surgeon adjusts wound endpoints spline points spline

![](_page_47_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### Online Suture Re- Planning

![](_page_48_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### Augmented Reality Display

![](_page_48_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_7.jpeg)

### Automating 3D Surgical Suture Planning Viraj Ramakrishnan\*, Julia Isaac\*, Harshika Jalan, Harsha Polavaram, Hansoul Kim, Aviv Adler, Danyal M Fer, Ken Goldberg

Contributions:

1) First application of Meta Segment Anything Model (SAM) to segment a human wound from an image.

2) Extend SP2DEEF algorithm to compute suture plans for 3D non-linear wounds

3) Physical experiments

![](_page_49_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_7.jpeg)

BERKELEY ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE RESEARCH

![](_page_49_Picture_9.jpeg)

UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF MEDICINE

\* denotes equal contribution

![](_page_49_Figure_13.jpeg)